

Addendum #2

Questions submitted for RFI 802411E

1. Will a detailed RF site survey be allowed for in the budgeted funds for this project?

Answer: Each respondent invited to participate in the RFQ phase of this undertaking will have an opportunity to outline the costs associated with providing the equipment and services they propose. Presumably, any site survey costs would be identified and included during that process.

2. Could you please define your expectations for the mentioned indoor coverage areas?

Answer: In general, we are most interested in outdoor coverage. Indoor coverage would be whatever is available from an outdoor solution that is designed to provide the required outdoor coverage.

3. Do you expect indoor coverage areas to be covered from outdoor, repeater (CPE), or indoor access points running on the same controllers?

Answer: For the purposes of the RFI we are interested in learning the capabilities and limitations of the equipment being proposed by each respondent. In general, outdoor coverage is required, as further described in the RFI, and we are interested in understanding the capabilities of each proposed solution to extend coverage to indoor areas, when we desire to do so.

4. Who is your AMR/AMI partner?

Answer: The system currently used is made by ITRON.

5. Do you have minimum RF requirements for your AMR/AMI partner's radio?

Answer: No, final selection of a permanent solution is still pending.

6. Which in car mobile solution have you selected for in car communications?

Answer: None has been selected. Question 38 requests that the respondent provide their recommendations for such solutions. This is also discussed under I. Summary 1(B).

Addendum #2

Questions submitted for RFI 802411E

7. Will mounting of Access Points be performed by MESH vendor or CPW?

Answer: Assume that the physical installation of hardware would be done under the on-site direction of the vendor by employees of Greenwood CPW, but that the initial testing and provisioning of the proposed systems and equipment would be done by the vendor with CPW employees assisting.

8. What are the desired use cases by City Departments? Bandwidth requirements?

Answer: These are yet to be finalized. The respondents' RFI responses and responses to the upcoming RFQ will be used in finalizing these matters.

9. How soon do you plan to begin this project? Weather and foliage is a consideration.

Answer: Since the system is to operate in all seasons and all weather conditions, foliage and weather considerations should be factored into all proposed solutions. Project schedules have not been finalized and won't be until after upcoming RFQ responses have been analyzed.

10. What current network infrastructure is in place?

Answer: The maps and files provided as part of the RFI package show the locations of existing fiber optic cable infrastructure. The details of CPW's extensive network infrastructure are outside of the scope of this RFI.

11. Who will provide internet access?

Answer: Greenwood CPW will provide bandwidth on a separate channel through its existing internet connections.

12. Is CALEA (Communications Assisting Law Enforcement Act) compliance being considered?

Answer: The capabilities of the proposed solution to support CALEA would be of interest and might fit well under Question 75 and other sections of the RFI.