

Electric Rate Survey of South Carolina's Municipal Electric Systems
January 2010 - UPDATE includes Easley Combined Utility

Rank	Utility	500 KWH	1,000 KWH	3,000 KWH
19	Bamberg BPW	43.65	78.90	219.90
18	Greenwood CPW	47.83	87.08	235.38
17	Orangeburg DPU	49.95	90.10	250.70
16	Bennettsville, City of	52.29	91.58	281.24
15	Greer CPW	51.96	96.03	290.19
14	Georgetown, City of	53.52	99.03	281.09
13	Prosperity, Town of	52.76	99.50	260.50
12	Newberry, City of	58.82	101.04	265.92
11	Rock Hill, City of	54.51	101.63	290.11
10	Easley Combined Utilities	54.93	102.18	291.18
9	Seneca Light & Water	56.32	104.16	295.49
8	Due West, Town of	56.43	107.23	310.43
7	Camden, City of	59.30	109.55	298.05
6	Abbeville, City of	61.43	111.16	273.21
5	Westminster CPW	62.49	114.15	369.41
4	Winnsboro, Town of	61.53	115.97	330.37
3	Clinton, City of	63.19	116.39	329.19
2	Union, City of	63.66	120.58	348.26
1	McCormick CPW	65.17	121.34	346.02
Average		56.30	103.56	292.98

Compiled quarterly by the South Carolina Association of Municipal Power Systems (SCAMPS)

- ✓ Order of presentation based on cost for 1000 KWHs in ascending order
- ✓ All dollars represent total residential billing based on the rate on which the
- ✓ These rates represent the base rate amount plus facilities charge, purchase
- ✓ Data supplied by each municipal power system
- ✓ Please Note: Laurens CPW and Gaffney BPW rates were not available at the